

IMV-40013

Practitioner's Docket No.

PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Nighy et al.

10/539,664 Application No.:

Group No.: 3746

Filed: May 15, 2006

Examiner:

For: Fluid Metering

**Commissioner for Patents** , P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## STATUS INQUIRY

WARINI	NG: Submission of a status letter after in patent term adjustment under 3 111–112, June 26, 2001.	a Notice of Allowance may subect an application to a reductio 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 OC
1. More	than 17 months have pa	assed since
	NEW APPLICATIONS	-1-1
	the filing of this application	on 5/15/06
	No communication has beer indicating action on this app	n received from the Patent and Trademark Office lication.
	AMENDED APPLICATIONS	
	the filing of a response on _	•
	No further communication had Office.	as been received from the Patent and Trademark
	APPEALED APPLICATION	
	The Appeal Brief was file	ed on
	(When using Express Mail, the	R 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10*  Express Mail label number is mandatory;  certification is optional.)
I hereby cer	tify that, on the date shown below, th	is correspondence is being:
1		MAILING
deposite	ed with the United States Postal Service 50, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	e in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O.
	37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *
with suf	ficient postage as first class mail.	as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"
_		Mailing Label No (mandatory)
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ANSMISSION
☐ facsimile	transmitted to the Patent and Traden	Knistine Carrell
Date: Oc.t	. 4, 2007	Signature
Date		Kristine Carroll
		(type or print name of person certifying)

<sup>\*</sup> Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

•	(	check and com	nplete applicable items below)	
		An Examiner's	s Answer was mailed on	
	П	A Reply to the	Examiner's Answer was submitted on	
П	ALLOWED A	APPLICATIONS		
			327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on	
			the present status of this application, by checking dreturn-addressed envelope is provided.	
	P.E.P. § 203.08 lows:	Status Inquiries, &	8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as	
"N	EW APPLICATIO	ON	·	
i t . c	of Form PTOL-3: in addition to a fo the need for stat or her new appli exception, a statu	7 in every case of a rmaſ Notice of Allow us inquiries even a: cation may have b	provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) llowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 vance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate is a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his een passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within PTOL-37.	
d o:	ockets of each a f the "oldest nev	rt unit and TC with v applications" app	aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates earing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the examiners reach the applications or action.	
"7	Therefore, it show	uld be rarely neces	sary to query the status of a new application.	
"AM	IENDED APPLIC	ATIONS		
tw in A j wi fili the	"Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until-5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, will be considered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filing of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."			
Reg. No.: 2	5., 605		Michael Suntak  SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER  Michael Piontek	
			(type or print name of practitioner)	
Tel. No.: (31	2)236-8	123		

P.O. Address

Customer No.: 21015

(Status Inquiry [9-3]-page 2 of 3)

## STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

APPLIC	/	SIGNED TO GROUP 3746 AND AWAITS:  ACTION BY THE EXAMINER.
	Ō	APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED
APPEAL	NO.	**************************************
	IS AV	WAITING ACTION BY THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFER- ES
		DATE OF HEARING EXPECTED  DECISION EXPECTED